



EPAA SUBMISSION TO

SCREEN SCRAPING – POLICY AND REGULATORY IMPLICATIONS DISCUSSION PAPER

Country of Origin:

Australia

Department:

Consumer Data Right Policy and Engagement Branch
Market Conduct and Digital Division
Treasury

Title:

Screen scraping – policy and regulatory implications discussion paper

Date:

August 2023

Submission Date:

25 October 2023

Original Link:

<https://treasury.gov.au/consultation/c2023-436961>

23 October 2023

**Consumer Data Right Policy and
Engagement Branch
Market Conduct and Digital Division
Treasury
Langton Cres
Parkes ACT 2600**

Submission by email to: data@treasury.gov.au

Title: Screen Scraping – Policy and Regulatory Implications Discussion Paper

Please find attached the submission of the Emerging Payments Association Asia (EPA Asia) to the Screen scraping – policy and regulatory implications discussion paper (“the Discussion Paper”) released by the Commonwealth Treasury in August 2023. EPA Asia’s goal is to unify the payments agenda in the region, drive business development and improve the regulatory landscape for all organisations within the payments value chain. We are a community of payments organisations whose goal is to strengthen and expand the payments industry for the benefit of all stakeholders. More information about EPA Asia can be found on our website www.emergingpaymentsasia.org.

Please note, that while we have consulted within our membership, any views expressed in this submission are solely the views of EPA Asia and do not necessarily represent the views of individual contributors, EPA Asia Ambassadors or EPA Asia Members.

EPA Asia is a strong supporter of Open Data across the Asia-Pacific and has been broadly supportive of the Australian Government’s Consumer Data Right (CDR) agenda. We broadly support the eventual phasing out of screen scraping and its replacement with API-based, consent-based secure access to consumer data. We also believe that Government should define a transition path and a supportive regulatory environment (both during the transition period and at the “end state”) that finds an appropriate balance between protecting and empowering consumers, mitigating risks, and promoting competition and innovation.

Our answers to some of the questions in the Discussion Paper are included below.

2. Are there any other risks to consumers from sharing their login details through screen scraping?

Account holder credentials, such as username and password, provide full access to a consumer's accounts and assets. When shared with a third-party service via screen scraping, those credentials may be at risk either through an interception of the data or in the event of a data breach or other form of attack. If those credentials are compromised, particularly without the knowledge of the consumer and the account provider that could take corrective measures, a fraudster can use those credentials to gain access to the consumer's accounts and empty the customer's account in a matter of minutes. The risk associated with multiple companies possessing such credentials only magnifies the potential for a catastrophic loss of consumer funds.

There are other risks involved with the practice of screen scraping, for example screen scraping involves the provision of credentials and access to an account at a particular point in time. The details collected through screen scraping may not work in the future, denying the consumer access to their account via the third-party service. Further, there is the risk to the consumer that they have voided any regulatory or contractual rights to compensation from their account provider as a result of sharing those credentials to a third party.

6. Are there other proposed reforms or legal frameworks that relate to the use of screen scraping?

It would be useful to better understand how the announced changes to the Privacy Act that the Commonwealth Government intends to introduce in 2024, such as the right to be forgotten, will or will not apply to screen scraping and CDR.

7. Are there any other international developments that should be considered?

Whether or not one agrees with the approach taken in Europe with PSD2 and PSD3, there is at least clarity to both consumers and the market in respect to the transition path and end point for screen scraping. There is clarity that screen scraping will be effectively banned and only APIs access will be available once PSD3 comes into effect.

Further, PSD3 defines baseline functionality for API access. This provides clear guidance to industry stakeholders as to regulatory expectations and aims to create a consistent experience for consumers that engenders trust and drives usage. This is something that should be considered as part of any agenda to ban screen scraping and require use of APIs.

The European experience also suggests the importance of standardising APIs. The issue the EU experienced with PSD2 was that each financial institution could design its own APIs. This made it difficult for third party providers to integrate across multiple APIs and aggregate financial information across multiple FIs for a client, making aggregation of data across FIs much harder.

8. What are your views on the comparability of screen scraping and the CDR?

Over the long term, EPA Asia would agree that screen scraping should be banned in Australia. We support a statutory ban within a specified time frame to give those aggregators using screen scraping time to migrate to a secure API-based approach. We support continuing with the CDR agenda to leverage secure, well-formed APIs as the means of integration.

However for this to proceed, data providers, and in particular FIs, must be able to properly support APIs that enable secure consumer account access.

9. The Statutory Review recommended that screen scraping should be banned in the near future in sectors where the CDR is a viable alternative.

a) How should the Government determine if the CDR is a viable alternative?

It is EPA Asia's view that mere implementation of CDR is not necessarily enough to warrant a ban on screen scraping. Ideally there should be widespread and easy use of APIs.

Crafting the policy response will need to keep in mind that without clear financial benefits, FIs will treat this as a compliance requirement while third parties may have little incentive to abandon screen scraping and embrace API-connectivity. Careful monitoring across the market will be required.

Assignment of liability is one method that can be utilized to speed up the migration of screen scraping to API integration. Like was done when EMV cards entered the market, consideration should be given to assigning liability to those parties who continue to leverage screen scraping to gain access to consumer account's financial information and only release that liability when APIs are implemented.

b) What are your views on a ban on screen scraping where the CDR is a viable alternative?

As noted above, a ban on screen scraping should happen when CDR is viable. Ideally this should be based on objective criteria that looks at the level of data provider provision, third party and consumer uptake, impacts on competition etc as well as industry confidence and community attitudes. There should also be significant notice provided in respect to both the commencement of any transitional period and the end date. Care needs to be taken during any transitional period to avoid a "soft ban" on screen scraping by data providers prior to a regulatory ban.

c) What timeframe would be required for an industry transition away from screen scraping and why?

At this point, EPA Asia is not confident about providing exact dates, particularly as services such as non-bank lending are not yet fully within the CDR regime. As noted above, sufficient notice needs to be provided. Past practice would suggest a notice period of at least 12 months before any ban.

**Yours sincerely,
Camilla Bullock, CEO**

Ph: +61 419 468 165

Email: camilla.bullock@emergingpaymentsasia.org

We are more than happy to expand further on the items raised in this submission or to provide further information. If you do have any comments or questions, please feel free to contact me at camilla.bullock@emergingpaymentsasia.org or Dr Brad Pragnell at brad.pragnell@34south45north.com.

Yours sincerely,

Camilla Bullock, CEO, EPAA

Ph: +61 419 468 165

Email: camilla.bullock@emergingpaymentsasia.org